RUTAN & TUCKER LLP

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Attorneys at Law 611 Anton Bouleyard, 14th Floor Costa Mesa California 92626-1998

JAN 2 1 2005

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 1950, Costa Mesa, California 92628-1950

Telephone: 714.641.5100 Facsimile 714.546.9035

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

JANUARY 21, 2005

| To: | | <u>Hard</u> | Hard Copy to Follow via Mail: NO | | | |
|-------|------|--------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Name | FAX No. | PHONE NO. | | | |
| USPTO | | 703-872-9306 | | | | |

FROM:

Barbara M. Hayashi

RE:

| OUR REF: 100302.0016US1 | Number of Pages, Including Cover: 3 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 10/031046 | |
| INTERACTIVE IRRIGATION SYSTEM | |
| Aqua - John Addink, et al. | |

| IV. | LESSAGE: | • |
|-----|----------|---|
| | | |

Attached: Statement of the Substance of the Interview 2 pages

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMBLATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU

If there are problems receiving this Fax Transmittal please call 714.641.5100, Ext. 1235.

| y ¹ | u.S. | Perent and Trad | semant Office U | PTO/SB/21 (09-04) wough 07/31/2008 OMB 0651-0031 S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 | no persons are required to respond to a c Application Number | 10/031,04 | nation unless it o | sorays a valid OMB control number | | | |
| TRANSMITTAL | Filing Date | | | | | | |
| FORM | First Named Inventor | | January 11, 2002 John W. Addink | | | | |
| FURIN | Art Unit | 2125 | | | | | |
| | Examiner Name | Paul L. Rodriguez | | | | | |
| (to be used for all correspondence after initial | Attorney Docket Number | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Pages in This Supmission | 2 Applied Docker Aprilber | 100302.0 | 100302.0016US1 | | | | |
| | ENCLOSURES (Check a | il that apply) | | | | | |
| Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached | Drawing(5) Licensing-related Papers | | Appest | Communication to TC Communication to Board sals and Interferences | | | |
| Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavite/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Centified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1 52 or 1.53 | Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocat Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C | Address | Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify pelow): Statement of the Substance of the Interview | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | TURE OF APPLICANT, ATT | ORNEY, OF | RAGENT | | | | |
| Firm Name Rutair & Fucker | | | | | | | |
| Signature | Signature | | | | | | |
| Printed name Martin Fessenmaier | | | | | | | |
| Date ; /2, 705 | | Reg No. | 46697 | | | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on | | | | | | | |
| the date shown below | sverebe addressed to, Commissionet | ioi raishis, P. | U. BUA 1430, A | developing at 643 12-1400 out | | | |
| Signature | 1 | | | | | | |
| Typed or printed name Barbara Hayashi | | | Date | 01/21/2005 | | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to optain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Configengating is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including garnering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for requiring this burden, should be sert to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Committee, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO This ADDRESS SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2

RZCEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

JAN 2 1 2005

Inventor: Addink, John et al.

Examiner: Paul L. Rodriguez

Serial No: 10/031,046

Art Unit: 2125

Filed:

01/11/02

Attorney Docket No.: 100302.0016US1

For:

Interactive Irrigation System

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Box AF Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The applicant thanks the examiner for the interview conducted on January 6, 2005 and files this Statement of the Substance of the Interview as required under MPEP section 713.04.

1

Remarks

In the phone interview conducted on January 6, 2005, the applicant's representative and the examiner discussed claims 1, 27, and 28 as they compare to Collins (U.S. Patent No. 6,402,048) and Peek (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,255).

During the interview, the parties discussed why further consideration would be needed by the examiner in light of the fact that the applicant had merely moved dependent elements of claims 27 and 28 into independent claim 1. The examiner pointed out that the applicant had also changed the word "exchanges" to "sends". The parties then discussed that the concept of exchanging information is broader than simply sending information, so the reference which had been cited previously (Peek) against claims 27 and 28 should still be applicable unless the applicant had distinguished that reference. The parties agreed that Peek had indeed been distinguished and therefore the examiner needed to further consider other references.

The parties also discussed the motivation to combine the references of Collins and Peek. The examiner's position was that the motivation to combine was stated in his Office Action. In addition, the examiner summarized the motivation to combine as follows (paraphrased): Collins teaches exchanging information with a government agency; Peek teaches water flow data; it would be obvious to exchange this type of information with a government agency. The applicant's representative disagreed with the examiner stating that the mere recitation of two elements without anymore is not sufficient motivation to combine.

The parties did not come to agreement as to any proposed amendments to the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Rutan & Tucker, LLP

Dated: January 21, 2005

Martin Fessenmaier Reg. No. 46697

Attorneys for Applicant(s)
Post Office Box 1950
Costa Mesa, CA 92628-1950

Tel: (714) 641-5100 Fax: (714) 546-9035

2077/100302-0016US1 575489.01 x01/21/05